Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys(CHERRIES)

Multimedia appendix 3. CHERRIES checklist

Item Category	Checklist Item	Explanation	Answer/Location in paper
Design	Describe survey design	Describe target population, sample frame. Is the sample a convenience sample? (In "open" surveys this is most likely.)	See "user enrollment" in Methods. It is a convenience sample.
IRB (Institutional Review Board) approval and informed consent process	IRB approval	Mention whether the study has been approved by an IRB.	See lines 183-186.
	Informed consent	Describe the informed consent process. Where were the participants told the length of time of the survey, which data were stored and where and for how long, who the investigator was, and the purpose of the study?	See lines 122-124. In the informed consent participants were told the length of time of all surveys, which data was stored, for how long, who had access to the data (the investigators names and affiliations) and the purpose of the study. More information can be found in Multimedia appendix 3.
	Data protection	If any personal information was collected or stored, describe what mechanisms were used to protect unauthorized access.	See Multimedia appendix 2.
Development and pre-testing	Development and testing	State how the survey was developed, including whether the usability and technical functionality of the electronic questionnaire had been tested before fielding the questionnaire.	See Multimedia appendix 1.
Recruitment process and description of the sample having access to the questionnaire	Open survey versus closed survey	An "open survey" is a survey open for each visitor of a site, while a closed survey is only open to a sample which the investigator knows (password- protected survey).	All surveys were assigned to a user which had to be created prior to accessing the surveys, so they were "closed". However, the app

Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys(CHERRIES)

			was open to any adult living in the United States with access to a smartphone application. See lines 114-115 and 176-177, and Multimedia appendix 2.
	Contact mode	Indicate whether or not the initial contact with the potential participants was made on the Internet. (Investigators may also send out questionnaires by mail and allow for Web-based data entry.)	See "user recruitment" in Methods.
	Advertising the survey	How/where was the survey announced or advertised? Some examples are offline media (newspapers), or online (mailing lists – If yes, which ones?) or banner ads (Where were these banner ads posted and what did they look like?). It is important to know the wording of the announcement as it will heavily influence who chooses to participate. Ideally the survey announcement should be published as an appendix	The surveys included in the app were advertised in-person and through traditional and social media. See "user recruitment" in Methods.
Survey administration	Web/E-mail	State the type of e-survey (eg, one posted on a Web site, or one sent out through e-mail). If it is an e-mail survey, were the responses entered manually into a database, or was there an automatic method for capturing the responses?	The surveys were provided though the app. See Multimedia appendix 2.
	Context	Describe the Web site (for mailing list/newsgroup) in which the survey was posted. What is the Web site about, who is visiting it, what are visitors normally looking for? Discuss to what degree the content of the Web site could pre-select the sample or influence the results. For example, a survey about vaccination on a anti- immunization Web site will have different results from a Web survey conducted on a government Web site	N/A. The surveys were not posted on websites.
	Mandatory/voluntary	Was it a mandatory survey to be filled in by every visitor who wanted to enter the Web site, or was it a voluntary survey?	All surveys were voluntary (See informed consent in Multimedia appendix 3).

Checklist for Reporting Results of
Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES)

	Incentives	Were any incentives offered (eg, monetary, prizes, or non- monetary incentives such as an offer to provide the survey results)?	No incentives were offered.
	Time/Date	In what timeframe were the data collected?	See lines 170-171
	Randomization of items or questionnaires	To prevent biases items can be randomized or alternated.	Randomization of items was not performed.
	Adaptive questioning	Use adaptive questioning (certain items, or only conditionally displayed based on responses to other items) to reduce number and complexity of the questions.	Adaptive questioning was used. See Multimedia appendix 2
	Number of items	What was the number of questionnaire items per page? The number of items is an important factor for the completion rate.	There were 1 to 4 questions per page depending on the length of the question. See Multimedia appendix 2
	Number of screens (pages)	Over how many pages was the questionnaire distributed? The number of items is an important factor for the completion rate.	The surveys included 13 to 4 screens (often less considering adaptive questioning). See Multimedia appendix 2
	Completeness check	It is technically possible to do consistency or completeness checks before the questionnaire is submitted. Was this done, and if "yes", how (usually JAVAScript)? An alternative is to check for completeness after the questionnaire has been submitted (and highlight mandatory items). If this has been done, it should be reported. All items should provide a non-response option such as "not applicable" or "rather not say", and selection of one response option should be enforced.	Completeness checks were not done, except for those mandatory questions. All items provided a none- response option when relevant. See Multimedia appendix 2.
	Review step	State whether respondents were able to review and change their answers (eg, through a Back button or a Review step which displays a summary of the responses and asks the respondents if they are correct).	Respondents were able to review and change through a back button. See Multimedia appendix 2.
Response rates	Unique site visitor	If you provide view rates or participation rates, you need to define how you determined a unique visitor. There are different techniques available, based on IP addresses or cookies or both.	Each survey was assigned to an app users with a unique identifier. See Multimedia appendix 2.
	View rate (Ratio unique site	Requires counting unique visitors to the first page of the survey, divided by the number of	N/A

	visitors/unique survey visitors)	unique site visitors (not page views!). It is not unusual to have view rates of less than 0.1 % if the survey is voluntary.	We do not have sufficient data to estimate the view rate.
	Participation rate (Ratio unique survey page visitors/agreed to participate)	Count the unique number of people who filled in the first survey page (or agreed to participate, for example by checking a checkbox), divided by visitors who visit the first page of the survey (or the informed consents page, if present). This can also be called "recruitment" rate	N/A We do not have sufficient data to estimate the participation rate, we were only able to estimate the completion rate (see below).
	Completion rate (Ratio agreed to participate/ finished survey)	The number of people submitting the last questionnaire page, divided by the number of people who agreed to participate (or submitted the first survey page). This is only relevant if there is a separate "informed consent" page or if the survey goes over several pages. This is a measure for attrition. Note that "completion" can involve leaving questionnaire items blank. This is not a measure for how completely questionnaires were filled in. (If you need a measure for this, use the word "completeness rate".)	For the enrollment survey the completion rate was 86.1% (line 259). For the "Tick Diary" we estimated the completion rate as those who completed at least one survey over all users that accessed the home page (50.7%, lines 380-381). For the "Report a Tick" we estimated the completion rate similarly to the procedure used for the "Tick Diary" (52.6%, lines 389-390), although it depended on the users finding a tick.
Preventing multiple entries from the same individual	Cookies used	Indicate whether cookies were used to assign a unique user identifier to each client computer. If so, mention the page on which the cookie was set and read, and how long the cookie was valid. Were duplicate entries avoided by preventing users access to the survey twice; or were duplicate database entries having the same user	N/A The survey were implemented through the app, which assigned each user with a unique identifier.

		ID eliminated before analysis? In the latter case, which entries were kept for analysis (eg, the first entry or the most recent)?	
	IP check	Indicate whether the IP address of the client computer was used to identify potential duplicate entries from the same user. If so, mention the period of time for were duplicate database which no two entries from the same IP address were allowed (eg, 24 hours). Were duplicate entries avoided by preventing users with the same IP address access to the survey twice; or entries having the same IP address within a given period of time eliminated before analysis? If the latter, which entries were kept for analysis (eg, the first entry or the most recent)?	N/A. See above
	Log file analysis	Indicate whether other techniques to analyze the log file for identification of multiple entries were used. If so, please describe.	N/A. See above
	Registration	In "closed" (non-open) surveys, users need to login first and it is easier to prevent duplicate entries from the same user. Describe how this was done. For example, was the survey never displayed a second time once the user had filled it in, or was the username stored together with the survey results and later eliminated? If the latter, which entries were kept for analysis (eg, the first entry or the most recent)?	See first paragraph of "Functionalities and workflow of The Tick App" in Methods.
Analysis	Handling of incomplete questionnaires	Were only completed questionnaires analyzed? Were questionnaires which terminated early (where, for example, users did not go through all questionnaire pages) also analyzed?	All questionnaires received were complete, although they might have had missing data.
	Questionnaires submitted with an atypical timestamp	Some investigators may measure the time people needed to fill in a questionnaire and exclude questionnaires that were submitted too soon. Specify the timeframe that was used as a cut-off point, and describe how this point was determined.	Lines 170-171
	Statistical correction	Indicate whether any methods such as weighting of items or propensity scores have been used to	No statistical correction was used.

Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES)

		adjust for the non- representative sample; if so, please describe the methods.	See statistical analysis in Methods.

Eysenbach, G. (2004). Improving the quality of web surveys: the checklist for reporting results of internet e-surveys (cherries). *Journal of medical Internet research*, 6(3) e34 doi:10.2196/jmir.6.3.e34
http://www.jmir.org/2004/3/e34/